

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/643,220	08/19/2003	Kiyotaka Ohara	116382	3455	
25944 OLIEE & REE	7590 07/17/2008	EXAMINER			
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850			DEBROW, JAMES J		
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2176		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/17/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/643,220	OHARA, KIYOTAKA		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JAMES J. DEBROW	2176		

	JAMES J. DEBROW	2176					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 18 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
The period or reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data- have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s est forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri- inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•					
7. \(\bar{\text{\text{\$N\$}}} \) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) \(\bar{\text{\$h\$}} \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) io (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: \(\frac{1}{1.2.22.4.26.27 \) and \(\frac{30}{20} \). Claim(s) objected fo: \(\text{\$Claim(s)\$} \) rejected: \(\frac{1}{16} \) and \(\frac{31}{10} \). Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \(\text{\$M\$} \).		I be entered and an e	xplanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:							
	/Doug Hutton/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2100						

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Regarding claims 15 and 31, Applicant argues twata also fails to disclose or suggest that the printer extracts a list of location data of print data from the list of reference information.
The Examiner disagrees.

Iwata teaches a list of reference information refers to information such as URLs concerning the documents retained in the server. Iwata also teaches a printer requesting a list of reference information of documents available on the server (col. 2, lines 8-9; col. 5, lines 38-55). As described with the specification, p15, section 0060; URLs indicates locations of files.